——— This is an EXAMPLE only ——

1	Regular paper
2	
3	Distribution and settlement of <i>Ruditapes philippinarum</i> in the Suo-Nada Sea, Japan
4	
5	Naoaki Tezuka ¹ , Masami Hamaguchi ¹ , Manabu Shimizu ² , Hideki Iwano ³
6	
7	
8	¹ National Research Institute of Fisheries and Environment of Inland Sea, 2-17-5 Maruishi,
9	Hatsukaichi, Hiroshima 739-0452, Japan
10	² National Research Institute of Fisheries Science, 2-12-4 Fukuura, Kanazawa, Yokohama,
11	Kanagawa 236-8648, Japan
12	³ Oita Prefectural Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Research Center, Fisheries Research
13	Division, 3386 Kuresaki, Bungo-Takada, Oita 879-0617, Japan
14	
15	
16	
17	Running headline: Manila clam larval distribution and settlement
18	
19	
20	
21	Corresponding author: Naoaki Tezuka
22	Email: xxxxx@xx.xxx.ip; Tel +81-000-00-0000; Fax +81-000-00-0000
23	

24

(<mark>Abstract should be on page 2</mark>)

25 Abstract

26Understanding the causes of spatiotemporal variations in the scale of larval settlement is 27important for population dynamics studies in bivalves. This study investigated the seasonal 28abundance of the asari or Manila clam (Ruditapes philippinarum) larvae over a 4-year period (2004–2007) and their settlers over 3 years (2005–2007) in the Suo-Nada Sea, Japan. 2930 Seasonal differences in larval transport were examined by numerical simulation using 3D 31ocean-modelling. During the 2004–2007 spawning seasons, larval numbers peaked two or 32three times in June/July, August/September, and October/November. Settler occurrence was 33uncoupled with larval occurrence; settler density was >10 times higher in October/November than in other months. Numerical simulation suggested that the extent of larval transport 3435differed seasonally; larval transport via loss from the Suo-Nada Sea was estimated to be 20% 36 in June/July, whereas it was almost 0% in November. However, this could not explain the 37seasonal difference (>10-fold) in settler density. In addition, the average density of larger 38larvae (>180 μm) during 2004–2007 was higher in June/July than in October/November, as a 39result of spawning and larval loss (via transport and mortality), suggesting that larval supply 40 alone could not explain the seasonal differences in settler density. These results suggest that 41the seasonal differences in settler density were affected more by variation in mortality during 42the settlement and/or early post-settlement stages, which may depend on environmental 43conditions at the settling site, rather than by larval supply.

44

45 Keywords: clam, larval supply, larval transport, settlement

47 Introduction

48

49	For many marine bivalves including the asari or Manila clam Ruditapes philippinarum
50	(Adams & Reeve 1850), spatio-temporal differences in larval supply constitute a potential
51	cause of variations in the scale of larval settlement (Young et al. 1998; Shanks & Brink
52	2005). Understanding the causes of variations in larval supply and settlement, along with
53	post-settlement processes, is important for studies on the population dynamics of bivalves
54	(Hunt & Scheibling 1997; Pineda, Hare & Sponaugle 2007).
55	R. philippinarum was originally distributed in the temperate to subarctic regions
56	along the east coast of the Pacific Ocean, but is currently found in many other areas
57	worldwide because of its introduction for aquaculture (Goulletquer 1997). Population studies
58	on R. philippinarum have attracted attention because of its commercial and ecological
59	importance, especially after a population decline in Japan (Miyawaki & Sekiguchi 1999; Ishii
60	et al. 2001; Toba et al.2007; Tamaki et al. 2008; Tezuka et al. 2012). Spawning and larval
61	occurrence of the asari clam has been observed during spring to autumn at water
62	temperatures above 14 °C (Miyawaki & Sekiguchi 1999; Ishii et al. 2001; Matsumura et al.
63	2001; Drummond, Mulcahy & Culloty 2006). Dense larval settlement has often been
64	recorded in autumn in temperate regions of Japan (Miyawaki & Sekiguchi 1999; Ishii et al.
65	2001; Toba et al. 2007). However, the causes of seasonal variation in settler density and the
66	decoupling between larval occurrence and settlement are as yet unclear.
67	The Suo-Nada Sea was renowned as one of the major R. philippinarum fishery
68	ground in Japan until the population drastically declined in the late 1980s (see Tezuka et al.
69	2012, 2014). Surveys conducted in the 1970s and early 1980s, before the population decline,
70	showed that larval abundance peaked in spring and autumn, and that settlers were observed
71	mainly in autumn (Inoue 1980; Fujimoto et al. 1985). No larval surveys have been carried

72	out after the population decline; however, seasonal observations of settlement on the Nakatsu
73	tidal flats in the Suo-Nada Sea have been reported recently by Tezuka et al. (2012), and
74	settlers have been observed in autumn.
75	To ascertain the causes of seasonal differences in settler density observed on the
76	Nakatsu tidal flats during 2005–2007 by Tezuka et al. (2012), we investigated the abundance
77	and distribution of R. philippinarum larvae between April and November over the period
78	2004–2007. In addition, seasonal differences in larval transport were examined by numerical
79	simulation. Data for settler numbers were obtained from the Tezuka et al. (2012) study and
80	reanalyzed to determine the periods of larval settlement using shell-length distribution. The
81	study will increase our understanding of the causes of seasonal differences in settler density
82	and larval numbers after the clam population decline in the Suo-Nada Sea.
83	
84	Materials and Methods
85	
86	Sampling of larvae and settlers

87 Sampling of R. philippinarum larvae was conducted in the Suo-Nada Sea between April and November over the period 2004–2007 (Fig. 1). Larvae were collected by pumping 200 L of 88 89 seawater from a 5-m depth and screening onto a 50-µm mesh net. The sampling depth was 90 changed to an intermediate level in cases where the sampling stations were shallower than 10 91m. In the periods 19-22 June 2007, 20-23 August 2007, 15-19 October 2007, and 16-19 92November 2007, multilayer sampling from 2-, 5- and 10-m depths was conducted to ascertain 93the vertical distribution of clam larvae. Samples were frozen until needed for identification, 94enumeration and shell length measurement. After thawing, larvae were identified using 95fluorescent antibodies and counted under a fluorescence microscope (Matsumura et al. 2001;

96	Kasuya, Hamaguchi & Furukawa 2004). Shell length was measured using an eyepiece to the
97	nearest 10 μ m, and up to 100 individuals were measured per sample.
98	R. philippinarum settlers were collected at two stations on the Nakatsu tidal flats
99	during the period 2005–2007 (Fig. 1; see Tezuka et al. 2012) by taking three, 1-cm deep
100	surface-sediment samples with a core sampler (40 mm in diameter). Samples were preserved
101	by freezing until settlers were counted and shell lengths measured to the nearest 0.1 mm.
102	
103	Numerical simulation of larval transport
104	A 3D numerical ocean model was used for simulation of <i>R. philippinarum</i> larval transport in
105	the Suo-Nada Sea. Particle-tracking experiments were conducted using the same flow-field
106	reported by Chang et al. (2009). A total of 1000 modelled larval particles were set in each of
107	nine areas along the Suo-Nada coast (Fig. 2) and tracked by the Euler-Lagrange method for
108	14 days (100 particles per 10-model grids per area). The simulation was conducted over two
109	seasonal periods: June/July (from June 30 to July 17) and November (from November 7 to
110	November 27).

111 The position of the particle $X_{n+1}(x^{n+1}, y^{n+1})$ at time n+1, which was $X_n(x^n, y^n)$ at time 112 *n*, can be calculated by the following equation:

113
$$X_{n+1} = X_n + V\Delta t + (\partial V/\partial t + V\nabla V)\Delta t^2/2 + R$$

114 where *V* denotes the horizontal velocity vector of residual flow; Δt , the time step; ∇ , the 115 horizontal gradient. *R* is the dispersion due to turbulence and is given by the following 116 equation:

117
$$R = \gamma (2\Delta t D_h)^{1/2}$$

118 where γ is the normal random number whose average is zero and whose standard deviation is 119 1.0. D_h is the horizontal dispersion coefficient that depends on Smagorinsky diffusivity 120 (Mellor 2004), which varies with horizontal shear stress.

121	Vertical migration of larval particles was hypothesized as larvae were located at a
122	3-m depth from day 0 to day 11 but, then moved to 1 m above the ocean floor between day
123	12 and day 14. This assumption for the vertical migration of larval particles is based on
124	observational studies on the vertical distribution of larvae, including this study (see Results,
125	Fig. 6), which showed that smaller larvae (D- and umbo-shaped) were found at \sim 3 m,
126	whereas larger larvae (settling larvae) found in the bottom layer (Suzuki et al. 2002; Ishii,
127	Sekiguchi & Jinnai 2005; Kuroda 2005; Toba et al. 2012; Bidegain et al. 2013). The larval
128	stage was assumed to last 2 weeks (14 days) in this study, although it can vary with
129	temperature and food availability (Helm & Bourne 2004).
130	The larval retention rates, i.e., the ratio of larval particles remaining within the
131	Suo-Nada Sea, after the 14-day simulation were calculated as follows:
132	Retention rate after 14 days = $100 \times P_a / P_0$
133	where P_a is the number of particles remaining within the Suo-Nada Sea after the 14-day
134	simulation, and P_0 is the number of particles within the Suo-Nada Sea on Day 0. The
135	boundary of the Suo-Nada Sea was set on a line through two points (131.7°E, 33.7°N) and
136	(132.0°E, 34.0°N) (see Fig. 1), and particles located to the western side of the boundary line
137	were treated as inside the Suo-Nada Sea. Retention rates were calculated for each of the nine
138	areas where particles were released for two seasonal periods.
139	
140	Results
141	
142	Seasonal dynamics of larval distribution and settlement

143 Distribution of *R. philippinarum* larvae from April to November 2004–2007 is shown in Fig.

- 144 3. Seasonal changes in planktonic larval abundance are shown in Fig. 4. *R. philippinarum*
- 145 larvae were observed sporadically from April to November, with peak numbers being

146	recorded two or three times in a year, i.e. June/July, August/September and
147	October/November (Figs. 3 and 4). Larvae were distributed more widely in the Suo-Nada Sea
148	during June/July (e.g. 13-16 June 2005, 19-2 June 2006 and 19-22 June 2007) than in
149	October/November (e.g. 20-23 November 2005, 30 October-2 November 2006 and 15-19
150	October 2007) (Fig. 3). The maximum recorded planktonic larval density was c. 2000
151	individuals m ⁻³ , observed in November 2006 and in June 2007 (Fig. 4).
152	The size-frequency distribution of R. philippinarum settlers on the Nakatsu tidal
153	flats between May–December 2005–2007 is shown in Fig. 5. New settlers (< 0.5 mm in shell
154	length) were found almost exclusively in October/November for the 3-year period (Fig. 5).
155	The density of new settlers (< 0.5 mm in shell length) differed by more than 10-fold between
156	summer and autumn: 200-1000 individuals m ⁻² in June–August, and 4000-13,000 individuals
157	m ⁻² in October/November.
158	Vertical distribution of R. philippinarum larvae, inferred from the multilayer
159	sampling trials, are shown in Fig. 6. During 19–22 June 2007, smaller larvae (120–180 μ m in
160	shell length) were more abundant at the 2- and 5-m depths than at 10-m, whereas larger
161	larvae (>180 μ m) were more abundant at 10 m. During the other time-periods, larvae were
162	less abundant than during 19–22 June 2007, being found almost exclusively at 2 m.
163	

164 Numerical simulation of larval transport

Results of the numerical simulation of larval particle-tracking are shown in Fig. 7. In the June/July period, released larval particles were carried extensively by two major currents that developed in the Suo-Nada Sea; a circular current in the central region and a northward current along the western coast. In the November simulation, the currents had weakened and the larval particles were retained within the Suo-Nada Sea. The retention rates for particles within the Suo-Nada Sea after the 14-day simulations for June/July and November are shown

171	in Table 1. In the June/July period, retention rates of larval particles varied by area where the
172	particles were released, with rates ranging from 32.2% (Area 4) to 100% (Areas 5 and 6). On
173	average, 79.5% of the particles were retained within the Suo-nada Sea, while the rest were
174	lost by being transported from the Suo-Nada Sea in the June/July period. For the November
175	period, retention rates were higher than in the June/July period at >99%.
176	The densities of planktonic larvae of different sizes are compared between June/July
177	and October/November for combined data (2004–2007) (Fig. 8). The average larval density
178	of all combined size classes was 79.2 individuals m^{-3} in June/July and 36.3 individuals m^{-3} in
179	October/November, i.e., approximately twice as higher in the former. Of these, larger larvae
180	(>180 μm) constituted 8.0% in June/July (6.3 individuals $m^{\text{-3}}$) and 10.2% in
181	October/November (3.7 individuals m^{-3}).
182	
183	Discussion
184	
185	This study reported the seasonal dynamics of <i>R. philippinarum</i> larval distribution in the
186	Suo-Nada Sea and the number of settlers on the Nakatsu tidal flats. Larval occurrence and
187	settlement events were not necessarily coupled and, although larvae were recorded from
188	April to November, settlement occurred almost exclusively in October/November. Numerical
189	simulation suggested that larval loss via current transport was higher in June/July than in
190	November. However, the actual density of larger larvae (>180 μ m) was higher in June/July
191	than in October/November, suggesting that the supply of larvae was not the cause of seasonal
192	differences in settler density.
193	The seasonal occurrence pattern of larvae and settlers observed in this study was

similar to that reported in previous studies within the Suo-Nada Sea and other regions. Inoue(1980) reported that planktonic larval density peaked in May and October/November in 1976

196 on the northern coast of the Suo-Nada Sea (maximum larval density was 14,000 individuals m^{-3} in October 1976), and that new settlers were found in abundance (26,700 individuals m^{-2} 197 198in November 1976) in October/November, but very few, new settlers were recorded in other 199 months. Fujimoto et al. (1985) observed that larval density peaked in April, June/July, 200September and November during the period 1983–1984 on the southwest coast of the Suo-Nada Sea, with a maximum density of 4550 individuals m⁻³ in November 1983 and 6140 201individuals m⁻³ in April 1984 (larval density was calculated as the mean from eight sampling 202203 stations). An increase in new settlers was observed in October/November 1983 (maximum of 204350.000 individuals m⁻² in November 1983) and in November/December 1984 (max. 20.000 individuals m⁻²). Although the maximum larval density observed in the current study was 205206lower than those recorded in the 1970s and 1980s, the seasonal pattern was consistent among 207these studies. The difference in maximum larval density could be a result of differences in 208the spawning biomass between the 2000s and the 1970–1980s; clam production in the 209 Suo-Nada Sea was 14,800 metric tonnes in 1976, 19,500–31,700 metric tonnes in 1983/1984, 210and 94-780 metric tonnes during 2005-2007 (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 211Japan, 2012). Dense larval settlement in autumn has often been observed in other temperate 212regions of Japan (Miyawaki & Sekiguchi 1999; Ishii et al. 2001; Toba et al. 2007). 213The results of numerical simulation suggest that larval retention in the Suo-Nada Sea 214should be higher, i.e., lower larval loss rates, in October/November than June/July, owing to 215seasonal differences in the strength of two major currents in the Suo-Nada Sea. As 216 stratification developed in June/July, a circular current developed in the central region and a 217northern current developed along the southwest coast of the Suo-Nada Sea. However, as 218stratification declined in October/November, the currents weakened. The simulation 219suggested that larval loss via transport from the Suo-Nada Sea was 20% in June/July and 0%

in October/November. However, this disparity could not explain the >10-fold difference in

settler density between October/November and June/August. Moreover, on average during
the period 2004–2007, the observed density of >180 µm larvae was higher in June/July than
in October/November. This suggests that, even if he larval loss via transport may be higher in
June/July, larval supply, as a result of spawning and larval loss via transport and mortality,
was not the limiting factor in June/July under the prevailing conditions.

226Factors influencing settler density, other than larval supply, need to be considered, 227and although not all factors were investigated in this study, several hypotheses may be 228proposed. First, mortality during the critical period from settling to early post-settlement 229affects settler density (Hunt & Scheibling 1997), and this mortality may be lower in 230October/November than in other months. Predation and/or other factors, such as high 231temperature in summer, may cause higher mortality in settling larvae or early settlers during 232months other than October/November. Second, food availability for larvae may differ 233seasonally, resulting in differences in mortality during settlement (Laing 1995; Tezuka et al. 2342009). Third, the rate of larval settlement may vary due to seasonal differences in physical 235conditions at settling sites, e.g., variation in sediment grain size (Tezuka et al. 2013). If a 236suitable substrate is not found, larvae could delay settlement (Coon, Fitt & Bonar 1990) and, 237as a result, become more prone to predation by benthic filter-feeders while drifting near the 238bottom (Pineda et al. 2010). This study concentrated solely on larval settlement on the tidal 239flats and other possible sites, e.g., subtidal areas, were not investigated. As such, settlement 240failure on the tidal flats in seasons other than October/November needs to be investigated 241further.

242

243 Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Fisheries Agency, Japan. We thank Professor Takeoka andProfessor Guo from the Center for Marine Environmental Studies at Ehime University for

- 246 providing the ocean model outputs. We also thank reviewers and the editor for their
- 247 constructive and valuable comments, which helped us to improve the manuscript.

249 **References**

250Bidegain G, Bárcena JF, García A & Juanes JA (2013) LARVAHS: Predicting clam larval 251dispersal and recruitment using habitat suitability-based particle tracking model. 252*Ecological Modelling* 268, 78–92. 253Chang P-H, Guo X & Takeoka H (2009) A Numerical Study of the Seasonal Circulation in 254the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Journal of Oceanography 65, 721-736. 255Coon SL, Fitt WK & Bonar DB (1990) Competence and delay metamorphosis in the Pacific 256oyster Crassostrea gigas. Marine Biology 106, 379–387. 257Dethier MN, Ruesink J, Berry H & Sprenger AG (2012) Decoupling of recruitment from 258adult clam assemblages along an estuarine shoreline. Journal of Experimental Marine 259*Biology and Ecology* 422-423, 48–54. 260Drummond L, Mulcahy M & Culloty S (2006). The reproductive biology of the Manila clam, 261Ruditapes philippinarum, from the North-West of Ireland. Aquaculture 254, 326–340. 262Fujimoto T, Nakamura M, Kobayashi M, Hayashi I, Takiguchi K, Oda K & Ushima H 263(1985) On the formation of the asari clam fishery ground. Annual Report of Fukuoka 264Prefecture Buzen Fisheries Experimental Station S58, pp. 34–106. (In Japanese.) 265Goulletquer P (1997) A Bibliography of the Manila Clam *Tapes philippinarum*. IFREMER, 266La Tremblade, France. (RIDRV-97.021RN, 122 pp.) 267Helm MM & Bourne N (2004) Hatchery culture of bivalves. A practical manual. Lovatelli A 268(ed), FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 471. Rome, FAO. 177pp. Hunt HL & Scheibling RE (1997) Role of early post-settlement mortality in recruitment of 269270benthic marine invertebrates. Marine Ecology Progress Series 155, 269-301. 271Inoue Y (1980) On the ecology of the asari clam and the environment in Omi- and 272Yamaguchi-wan sea. Fisheries Engineering 16, 29–35. (In Japanese.) 273Ishii R, Sekiguchi H, Nakahara Y & Jinnai Y (2001) Larval recruitment of the manila clam 274Ruditapes philippinarum in Ariake Sound, southern Japan. Fisheries Science 67,

- 275 579–591.
- Ishii R, Sekiguchi H, Jinnai Y (2005) Vertical distributions of larvae of the clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* and the striped horse mussel *Musculista senhousia* in eastern Ariake
 Bay, southern Japan. *Journal of Oceanography* 61, 973–978.
- 279 Kasuya T, Hamaguchi M & Furukawa K (2004) Detailed observation of spatioal abundance
- of clam larvae *Ruditapes philippinarum* in Tokyo Bay, central Japan. *Journal of Oceanography* 60, 631–636.
- Kuroda N (2005) Larval transportation and settlement mechanism to tidal flat in Japanese
 littleneck clam *Ruditapes philippinarum*. *Bulletin of Fisheries Research Agency*,
- Supplement 3, 67–77. (In Japanese with English abstract.)
- Laing I (1995) Effect of food supply on oyster spatfall. *Aquaculture* 131, 315–324.
- 286 Matsumura T, Okamoto S, Kuroda N & Hamaguchi M (2001) Temporal and spatial
- 287 distributions of planktonic larvae of the clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* in Mikawa
- Bay; Application of an immunofluorescence identification method. *Japanese Journal*

289 *of Benthology* 56, 1–8. (In Japanese with English abstract.)

- 290 Mellor G (2004) Users guide for a three-dimensional, primitive equation, numerical ocean
- 291 model (the June 2004 revision). Program in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences,
- 292 Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544-0710, 1–56.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan (2012) Annual report of statistics on
 fisheries and aquaculture production in 2010. Nourin Toukei Kyoukai, Tokyo. (In
- 295 Japanese.)
- 296 Miyawaki D & Sekiguchi H (1999) Interannual variation of bivalve populations on temperate
 297 tidal flats. *Fisheries Science* 65, 817–829.
- Pineda J, Hare JA & Sponaugle S (2007) Larval transport and dispersal in the coastal ocean
 and consequences for population connectivity. *Oceanography* 20, 22–39.
- 300 Pineda J, Porri F, Starczak V & Blythe J (2010) Causes of decoupling between larval supply

301	and settlement and consequences for understanding recruitment and population
302	connectivity. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 392, 9–21.
303	Shanks AL & Brink L (2005) Upwelling, downwelling, and cross-shelf transport of bivalve
304	larvae: test of a hypothesis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 302, 1-12.
305	Suzuki T, Ichikawa T & Momoi M (2002) The approach to predict sources of pelagic bivalve
306	larvae supplied to tidal flat areas by receptor mode model: a modeling study
307	conducted in Mikawa Bay. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Fisheries
308	Oceanography 2, 88–101. (In Japanese with English abstract.)
309	Tamaki A, Nakaoka A, Maekawa H & Yamada F (2008) Spatial partitioning between species
310	of the phytoplankton-feeding guild on an estuarine intertidal sand flat and its
311	implication on habitat carrying capacity. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 78,
312	727–738.
313	Tezuka N, Ichisaki E, Kanematsu M, Usuki H, Hamaguchi M & Iseki K (2009) Particle
314	retention efficiency of asari clam Ruditapes philippinarum larvae. Aquatic Biology 6,
315	281–287.
316	Tezuka N, Kamimura S, Hamaguchi M, Saito H, Iwano H, Egashira J, Fukuda Y,
317	Tawaratsumida T, Nagamoto A & Nakagawa K (2012) Settlement, mortality and
318	growth of the asari clam (Ruditapes philippinarum) for a collapsed population on a
319	tidal flat in Nakatsu, Japan. Journal of Sea Research 69, 23–35.
320	Tezuka N, Kanematsu M, Asami K, Sakiyama K, Hamaguchi M & Usuki H (2013) Effect of
321	salinity and substrate grain size on larval settlement of the asari clam (Manila clam,
322	Ruditapes philippinarum). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 439,
323	108–112.
324	Tezuka N, Kanematsu M, Asami K, Nakagawa N, Shigeta T, Uchida M & Usuki H (2014)
325	Ruditapes philippinarum mortality and growth under netting treatments in a
326	population-collapsed habitat. Coastal Ecosystems 1, 1–13.

327	Toba M, Yamakawa H, Kobayashi Y, Sugiura Y, Honma K & Yamada H (2007)
328	Observations on the maintenance mechanisms of metapopulations, with special
329	reference to the early reproductive process of the Manila clam Ruditapes
330	philippinarum (Adams & Reeve) in Tokyo Bay. Journal of Shellfish Research 26,
331	121–130.
332	Toba M, Yamakawa H, Shoji N & Kobayashi Y (2012) Vertical distribution of Manila clam
333	Ruditapes philippinarum larvae characterized through year-round observations in
334	Tokyo Bay, Japan. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 78, 1135–1148. (In Japanese with
335	English abstract.)
336	Young EF, Bigg GR, Grant A, Walker P & Brown J (1998) A modelling study of
337	environmental influence on bivalve settlement in the Wash, England. Marine Ecology
338	Progress Series 172, 197–214.
339	

Table 1 Retention rate (%) of particles within the Suo-Nada Sea after a 14-day simulation. Retention rates were calculated for each of the nine area where particles were released over two seasonal periods, June/July and November.

Area	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Ave.
June/July	85.4	93.7	40.4	32.2	100	100	75.6	89.9	98.7	79.5
Nov	99.7	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.4	99.9

(Each Table should be on a separate sheet.)

340 Figure legends

341

- Fig. 1 (a)-(b), Map of the Suo-Nada Sea, Japan. Dashed line in (b) is the boundary used to
- 343 calculate the larval retention rate (see text). (c)-(d), Nakatsu tidal flats where larval settlement
- was recorded.
- 345 Fig. 2 Modelled larval particles were set in nine areas along the Suo-Nada Sea coast for
- 346 numerical simulation of *Ruditapes philippinarum* larval transport. A total of 1000 modeled
- 347 larval particles were set on 10-model grids in each area. The model grids in each area were
- 348 indicated as "+" symbols with identical color.

(other figures not shown in this example)





(Note: Figure originals should NOT have figure number.)

